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## THE MONROE DOCTRINE.

Trie ohd platform of religions exelnaives- Prooled, fec, that the earlh helofys to the saints; sid-Reodeen, gily, that we are the ealut-" - was sot original with the fanatios to "whom it hes teea imputed. It is, in fact, lnt a emmmary of the oale of public law which provailed in Europe at the poriod whan Anerica whe disoovered. The uations calling themelve Cliris thiiif metumed the right of seiaing and neeupying all lamhe intist. fleal ley barbarians, nud in caas of a diapute as to homatarine on priority of chairs, the Pope was noongrized as the monemee judey and divider amnog them, from whae devees dhen was no splail loit to the nltimate arhitrament of arms. A omparisin of tin simpite ente with that conpllissted syotem if rotios by whludy the
 whels civilization has unde in this respect dine the Refirmation. In moderi pmblic law, none apolegy for the seltome of ferritorin. cocupied by barbarinut, is desened necowary, boyond the grante of the Pope, or the natural righte of Olrixtinis to the ownertitp of the whale earth. There were certain rulet by which Eumpesin nations agreed to divide the Americat eontinens amomg themwots, and these are till referred to among diplomalias in dinnasing questimes of hoturdary and the like. Hut the valitity uf the ariginal title is no longer allowed to lie Ifawn into diemersion. It is soflieieut to say that all Awerica is held amber vithes disivel from the governments of Elumpe. Alul all queatime if title, exrept at modified by locil law, are decided sporyfing to the rules sad prineiples of the Furopean cointry bo vlume origiras sovereiputy all righte of itclividual nwrondiy retiof. it is
 tigation of our relations to Europes.

But in addition to chis, we unat momomber thai avery तोगी ized comumaity on thie comtinum was oriurinalls constitetel by
the authority of some Emropean monareh, and for about two centuries was governed by the laws, and disposed of by the will of the mother country. They were mere dependent colonies, having no rights except by the gift of their sovereigns, and, indeed. were held to be owned as the rightful property of those sovereigns, and liable as property to be assigned by one to another, or captured in war from one by another, at will, like any other absolute possession. They were simple appendages of the political system of Europe, liable at any time, without any will or agency of their own, to be involved in the calamities and responsibilities of war, for objects in which they had no interest, and then to have the war ended by treaty in which their welfare received no consideration. Without having any voice in the matter, they could be transferred to new masters, or used in any other way as mere counters in the settlement of dynastic quarrels, or make-weights in the re-adjistment of the European "Balance of Power."

The Declaration of Independence was the first breath of independent national life on this continent. The United States assumed at once the rank and the responsibilities of a real nation among nations, having the right to govern itself, to make war and peace, and to determine its own policy in relation to other nations, according to its own judgment of its own interests and duties. This new nation was not in Emrope, was not sulject to the liabilities of the European governments, not interested in the rise and fall of Enropean dynasties, not concerned for the maintenance of the halance of power in Europe, not subject to the calculations and complications of Emropean statesmanship. It was a new sensation, an unsolved problem, to meet face to face an American nation, civilized, Christian, responsible, and respectable, demanding a place among the family of nations, as one of them, and yet separate and aloof from all the machinations of diplomacy, and unconcerned in any of the anxieties of state-craft. No wonder that kings and courts were at a loss and uneasy with such an anomaly. From that day no art or effort has been left untried to bring the linited States into their circle, as a new subject for their tricks and mantrusers.

The philosophical student of history, who looks deeply into
the firinge and comente of national sympathy and antipaily, will loe slrurk with afoiration at the completeviess of oor mope ration foms Flaropean pulitios, so that the friembhijs enamared as. no profisione asdured ns, un fivan intimidated ns, to swerse frum our inolated praition. From in contury of depmidence, wro rome les a leap to independence. We had a war with Framee and a war with England, to prove that we were indeponslemt, and to show that we dared and were able to neert and enjoy our rights, in in independent power, unconnected with the politieal fortumes if Emmpean nations. And we began os the midentond in Eanrope. The result was well statel by Mr. Richard Rualo, vilo was our Minister to Englame from 1817 to 1825 . In thenecond series of hio " Memoranda of a Revidence at the Court of Lamdue," lie says:
 one which is common, I nuppens, to every uinitece of the tivitod Suspesimad

 ation agreable, an well with the enurt whero be may to coliling, en witb the entire diplonatio corpo. For lisin country, he has only to to juat and har met Then susaller Powers cannut have this calu amuraser; and the mpmenstative of Hee Cirat Puwen naturally rapart the ofloe of American Miniter, from a kavel
 (wome of tiven) frime in maning of contingencien whith may make the fritadilitp of the linitud Sitates desfrable, thought thefr maxim be. 'Peace nol conteren with all uations, entangling allinace with none. One of the membersef theorese Who witurted the salutations poning betwoen land Cestiermgh and byelf, mh to me es few minutem anerwands, 'How herpy you moet fiot in the thait when none of us know what is to lappen in Earops:-gou telong to es taneling


Such was the practical entimate formed by diphomatists of the actual sitnation of the United States among the nations of Enrope, as observed by one of the most calm and cantiose of our statemen, with ample experience. We were amoug thom, hat not of them; concerned in all that concerned them, on thoground of eommon hmmanity and equal civilization; liable to be nffered in our interests by all their movements, which we were therofine obliged to comprehend and to watch; font not forming a part of their "sy-tem," to le dictated to liy their will, to he namiqned our place by their mohitrament, or the he diepesed of in acoordation with their varying interests or arbitrary eaprices We call ap
preciate the air of satisfaction, not to say pride, with which this experience was recorded and published. It was gratifying to the highest feelings of patriotism. To realize the importance of the facts thus elicited, it is necessary to consider briefly the nature of the European Political System, of which our able representative was so glad that we were not a member.

The Political System of Europe, as it existed at the time of Mr. Rush's residence in England, was the result of the political history of Europe for three centuries, begimning with the reign of Charles the Fifth, and ending with the Congress of Viema. It was the product of its wars, treaties, dynastic changes, and adrancing intelligence and civilization. In all these changes, one dominant idea has been kept always in view by European statesmen, as more important than any family interests or any changes of dynasty or form of government. This paramomnt object of regard, this central point of guidance, this first meridian of all political reckonings, is oftenest designated by the name of the "Balance of Power." Personal ambitions and family interests, war and peace, have been made subordinate to this. The most elaborate treatises on public affairs have had for their object the elucidation of this subject, in its various bearings and consequences. To understand this subject, in its infinite complications and implications, and to be able to steer among them all a successful course of administration of affairs, made a man a statesman. Of this whole complex system of relations, obligations, and liabilities, the Balance of Power was so much the central principle, that the phrase is customarily used by writers to denote the whole Political System, including all other elements as subordinate.

Vattel's definition of a Balance of Power-"Such a disposition of things as that no one potentate or state shall be able albsolutely to predominate and prescribe to others"-expresses rather" the ostensible and praiseworthy object which ought to be aimed at, than the secret motives by which governments are commonly actuated, or the results actually attained by this great political system. The circle of nations who recognize this system are sipposed to maintain an understanding among themselves, that no one among them can interfere with the essential rights of an-
wher anoug them, withont exposing iteolf to the cenmene of ther ret, and then to the danger of a counter ioterforenee and comlition for the redres of the wrong. Also, that an one nation ought the sequire such murptaming power as to he alble to delly thie cembure, or to domineer at phesaire over asy or all of the rat. The conlitions to curb the grauping ambition of Clarles the Fifh, of Loulis the Fourteouth, and of Napoleon Bonnparte, am instances of gigantic struggle and vast cotubination of atrengeh for the preservation of the Bulance of Power. The oxadoms, methors, and limitations, of thin syatem have become a comples seinence, taxing the powers of the profoundeat meholars. Ita application to the ever varying exipencies created by the ambition of kings, the profligacy of their ministens, and the conntantly shitting conditions of untions, has taverl to the uthoet the nggacity of the wisest statesmen. It is a problem in history, wlich wo shall not now attempt to solve, whether this theory of the Balance of Power, or the entire Political System of which it cotnmunly stande as the exponent, has beon a blessing to mankind or a cume: whether it had prevented more wans than it ham cansed. or has mitigated rather than aggravated the severities of war ; whether it has improved or injured the canse of liberty, and alranced or retarded the progreas of civilization. There are wot wanting able and weighty opinions on efther side of the question.

After the overthrow of Aapoleon, the Congres of Vienha asoumed the restoration of this great political syatem, and placed its control and conservation under the care of the Five fireat Puwens, as they were termed-Great Britain, France, Anstria, Rumia, and Prussia, as a sort of Executive Committes, whome mited determinations were to bind all the rest. The British Government, indeed, on technical grounds and for domestic rest cons, declined to become in form a party to the secealled Holy Alliance. But it participated fully in all the negotiations, and approved all the arrangements then made, and has at all timen maintained and relied upon the adjustments then agroed upwis. Its recent letter of remonstrance on behalf of Poland, is lawed upont the obligations of the treaty of Vienna. The practical al ministration of the machinery so artistically arranged at Vienss, it unst be confesed, has partaken quite largely of the onlinary
irregularities of human institutions. A man setting himself down to study that arrangement and anticipate its results in forty rears' operation, would hardly bring out the actual state of things now existing in Europe. How it works in practice, we may learn, at least in part, from an eminent living writer, whose work in just now exciting great attention in the highest circles of Europe.

Mr. Kinglake derotes the second chapter of his History of the (rimean Campaign to a delineation of the Public Law; of Europe, which he terms the Supreme Usuge, and which he treats from the English point of view, in a very original as well as very English manner. The opening paragraphs are as follows:
"The Suprome Law or Usage which forms the safeguard of Europe is not in a state an perfect and symmetrical that the clucidation of it will bring any ease or comfort to a mind accustomed to crave for well-defined rules of concluct. It is a rough and wild-grown system, and its observance can only be enforced ly opinion, and by the belief that it truly coincides with the interests of every power which is called upon to obey it ; but practically, it has been made to achieve a fair prortion of that security which sanguine men might hope to see resulting from the adoption of an international code. Perhaps under a system ideally formed for thesafety of nations and for the prace of the world, a wrong done to one state would be instantly treated as a wrong done to all. But in the actual state of the world there is no such bond between nations. It is true that the law of nations does not stint the right of executing justice, and that any Power may cither remonstrate against a wrong done to another state, great or small, or may endeavor, if so it chooses, to prevent or redress the wrong by force of arms; but the duties of states in this respect are very far from being co-extensive with their rights.
" In Europe, all states except the Five Great Powers are exempt from the duty of watching over the general safety; and even a state which is one of the five great Powers is not practically under an obligation to sustain the cause of justice unless its perception of the wrong is re-enforced by a sense of its own interests. Moreover, no state, unless it be combating for its very life, can be expected to engage in a war without a fair prospect of success. But when the three circumstances are present-when a wrong is being done against any state, great or small, when that wrong in its present or ulterior consequences happens to be injurious to one of the five great Powers, and finally, when the great Power-so injured is competent to wage war with fair hopes, then Earope is accustomed to expect that the great Power which is sustaining the hurt will be enlivened by the smart of the wound, and for its own sake, as well as for the public weal, will be ready to come forward in arms, or to labor for the fornation of such leagues as may be needed for upholding the cause of justice. If a power fails in this duty to itself and to Europe, it gradually becomes lowered in the opinion of mankind, and bappily there is no historic lesson more true than that which teaches all rulers
shat a moral incrulation of this mori lo equenlily fillommi ig diviure af mibs a




 meknaine whith a walta him in his own dishosared omutry, leat that lae will sles lev helit guiley of a great Eurupean defoction, and that his defiaymesy oit in puabalied ty the mproach of mattons, ly thetr meom and dhetram, and al hant per hate. by shoir demrition of hime In his bour of trial. Bus, on the other habs, the Tiager manurea a Prince that if he will but be frim in emmiog formend in to drees a pubile wrong wheh chances to be eollaterally hurfol to hienwanales ha raume will bealngularly ennotid and atrengthened liy the ackneeleffiwat of
 fire every nation in the worlit which in interested In putting diwen tia woosp. down Of counm, nelther this nor any other human law or unge can have ary ral worth except in proporton to the reppect and obedlenoe with which it it re garled ! but, since the Vkage exacte nothing from any ntate exeopt whes io neally for ite own good as well an for the general wial, it ta wery much neryel asol in alway nepected in Euroge." p. 40.
"Ti keep allve the driad of a juat and avenging war, should ho the are of every statuman who would falthfully labor to prowerve then praco af Farope in It a poor une of time to urge a klug or an emperor to restrain hil smbation and his oovetousnces, for them are pansions etemal, always to le lowked for, not at waya wh be combatiod. For such a priace, the only gond brides to the fas of war." p. 41.

It is ouly by a figure of speech that the workings of shels a rickety machine as this are called Law. And yet they are held to impose a certain obligation upons such uations as can be beld within the circle. And they often serve the Puwes as convenient pretexts and apologies for interference in the affairs of others. whether right or wrong. Some instructive views of the pmotical operation of this system, in the case of what are called Minor Powers, may be gathered from a cursory examimation of the bittory of Modern (ireece. About forty years ago, the people of Greece, of their own aceord and by their own motion, threw off the intolerable yoke of Turkey, and declared themselves an imtependent mation. Thereupen, and forthwith, the Three Great Powers took the nation in charge, forbade the further attemplo of Turkey to subtue them, and required of then to contine their comntry forever within certain narrow limits, to leeome a heralitary monarchy, and to choose a king for themselven from atnong the royal fimilie of Europe, subject to the approval of the Three

Powers. They also assumed the right of requiring the funding of the revolutionary debt, nominally of fourteen millions of dollars, although only five millions had reached the national treasury. In 1832, the Powers interfered again, creating another debt of ten millions, of which about one million went for roads and other beneficial objects, and the rest was absorbed by the harpies of King Otho's court. In 185t, the debt had grown to sixty millions, and there was another interference of the Three Powers, resulting in a requisition that Greece shonld reserve anmually 900,000 francs-nearly $\$ 200,000$-for her creditors, out of a revenue barely reaching four millions per ammm, in a country where material civilization is far in arrear. This requirement, after some years' delay, was complied with for one year, and then followed a revolution. But Greece is still held by the bondage of this debt under the tutelage of the ever-present Three Powers, who allow no free choice to the people but to try over again the disastrous experiment so fully tried out in thirty years of mhappiness, of another hereditary dynasty, under a king subject to the approval of the Powers. And the millstone of a debt of sixty millions, for which Greece never received above one-tenth of the value, is still bound about her neck, and the yearly payment is to be coerced by the Powers, on penalty of war, and subjugation, and national extinction. Such is the working of the Political System of Europe, as organized by the Congress of Vienna, and administered by the (ireat Powers. Some American writers have spoken of the Holy Alliance as a thing of the past. Greece finds it a living Dominion, from whose grasp she as yet sees no possible way of escape. Perhaps some reflecting minds will trace out from this example an analysis of the principles involved in the Treaty of London, under which the Mexican republic is invaded by a European coalition to compel the payment of debts and claims even more exorbitant than those under which Greece is pressed to the earth, and will thus learn the meaning of the phrase, the extension of the Political System of Europe to the American continent.

This sodality of nations, thus imposed upon Europe by the Congress of Viema, and administered by the Five Great Powers, or any three, or even two of them, [either England or France
being always one), nemmed the right to interfere at will, with the internal polioy of any atate, and to require smoli an adminatration of ite donestic affains na they jndged to be necemary to what was styled "the tranquility of Europe." No state was allowed to manage ite own concerns or construct its own gov erment, securaling to issown judgment of what was moet for the welfare of it own people, but each was required to conform its economy to a pattern laid down by the managing Powers. And this prorogative of reriew and control was held to extend beyond the limits of the ring, and nations ontside of Enrope were to be coerced into confurmity to the will of this overshadowing conspiracy. This themendons machinery was guided by men of the highest nagacity and larges experience, and thoronghly devoted to its otjeets They were foos shrewd to attempt the reduction of all goveruments to the uniformity of a common pattern, for they know that diversity is inseparable from hmmanity. But they evidently had un ideal form or standard of perfection, and made it their constant aim to bring all governments into as near conformity with this as cirommstances would allow, and to repress all tendencies in the comtnary direction. The beau-ideal of the Ioly Alliance was an absolute monarchy, hereditary, and both imposed and maintained by military force. Constitutional monarchy, in its rarions grades. was reoggnized where it could not be avoided, with the proviso that the constitution must derive its validity from the grant of the monarch, and not by the will of the people. And then they held it to be quite competent for the sovereign to resmme his grant, and set aside the constitution, whenever he thought that the interests of the monarchy required. So a legislature, with powers inore or less extended, conld be tolerated, provided it owed its leing to the gitt of the crown. But it was not allowed that the people shonld create a legislature, and then offer to the king the privilege of reigning under such limited prerogative as they chese to prescribe Revalutions might be permitted to snceed, where they resulted in hereditary governments, imposed by the will of the Allinace, and maintained by military force. The antiqnity of the Swis republics, with their comparative insignificance, and perhaye the ditticulty of their smbjngation, permitted them to continue; but no other republic was to exint in Enrope, nor elsewhere if if could

be prevenved. The idea was utterly rejected, that it is in the power of a people, by their own will, and withont asking leave or receiving assent from any body, to create a valid government, such that to revolt against it should be a crime by human and divine law. To this day, the reactionaries and conservatives of Emrope do not allow that the authority of a government, thus originated, is of the same nature with that of one of their old monarchies. For this reason the sober mind of Europe is not shocked at the wickedness of the American secession, becanse they do not consider the casting off of such a government an offense against good morals. Our govermment is generally regarded in Europe as a mere aggregation of individuals, to and from which men may come and go at pleasure, without incurring any moral obligation or violating any moral principle.

It is upon this ground that we are to explain what appeared to Americans so shameless in the conduct of the French Emperor, when, in his letter to General Forey, he directed him to treat any govermment he might find in Mexico as merely provisional. The govermment of President Juarez is mquestionably the constitutional government of Mexico, and it has been supported by the great body of the people as such-the malcontent priests and their followers, and a few factious chiefs, only excepted. But it originated solely in the voice of the people, and neither had nor asked any other sanction than the popular will ;-and therefore Europe pronounces it only provisional, and hence liable to be replaced by another of equal authority by any faction which could get possession of the Capital, so as to wield for a moment the forms of government at the accustomed seat of govermment. Another point gained by this subtlety is to give color to the pretext by which Mexico is held to be bound by the acts of the transient Usmper, Miramon; for if Juarez' government is only provisional, Miramon's had as much anthority as his. And on no better. ground than this, the Three Great Powers, Great Britain, France, and Spain, formed a coalition to invade Mexico, just as it was recovering from the disorders of a long revolution, in order to enerce the payment of Miramon's bonds, for which the scoundrel bankers had paid the plundering brigand only at the rate of form or five cents on the dollar. And by the same rule, if Jeff. Davis
had been smart enough to seive. Wahington Ciry in 1881, and inaugurate hionelf as Prowident of the T aited States, they might by and by be making war apainst us to coanpel the payment of hin lokns, for his government would have been provivional, and just an valid in fiet as Mr. Lineoln's; for Eurogne deedies in the case of Mexico that a constitutional govermbent, nanetioned alone ly the will of the people, is "only provisional."

If there had been any doubt as to the real intent of the hangnage employed in the diphomatio correapondence of the allied Powern and in the Emperor'b letter, it is all now diepelled by the action of the Fronch commanter since he got preseesion of the city of Mexicu. He knew the object of the expedition, and what his master meant by his ordens. He haf treated the conetitotional government of Mexico as no valid government, as a merely provisional arrangement, a lecum tevena, until military power could come in and grant to the people a government comtormed to the fundamental ideas of Europe. He fins appoints by his owrn iuthority is commiemion of three persons, one a rencgade Mexiem, the instigator of the invasion, Almonte; the secrnad, the Archbishop, a servant of the sovereign of Rome, to give the sunction of the Pope to the proceeding: the third, Salas, the most uuprincipled of all the chiefs who have aided to keep Mexico in turmoil for a generation. Thene three convene a Comueil of Nutables, selected by themselves, who proceed at once to doclare Mexico an Empire, and appoint the Archduke Maximilish of Autria for Emperor, with the provision that, if he deelines. the Emperor of France shall designate a penson to be their monarch. Here we have the true intent of the ambiguous phraseology which was used throughout by the allied powers, of their intention to secure to unfortmate Mexieo the blessings of a analde govermment. They meant a frame of government not originating with the people, in the exercise of their own inherent rights. and which they were always at liberty to change for gowl eanee, lint one grantal to the people by some authority above them. It is a legitimate outgo of the political system of Europe, ns adjusted by the Congress of Vienna.

We have devoted the more space to this attempted analyis of the prolitical system of Europe, in order the better to nhow its
antagonism to the ideas which have been adopted in America, both concerning the origin of valid governments, and as to the mutual relations of states or nations. But few words are necesssary to explain the system which exists among the nations of this continent, and to make it manifest that the two systems camnot exist together in the Western Hemisphere without creating a constant and irrepressible conflict of irreconcilable ideas. It is the fumdamental idea that underlies our institutions, that the state is for the people, and not the people for the state ; that the state is valued for its benefits to the people, rather than the people for the greatness it adds to the state; that the people are, in the order of nature, before the state, which they create by their will; and that, in like mamer, the state is before the government, which it creates for itself, and may alter as it sees fit. Hence the stability of the government rests in the intelligence and patriotism of the people, and is promoted by whatever expands the minds and strengthens the principles of every class in society. The American Land system, by which the laborer owns the land he cultivates, and the system of Common Schools, by which every man learns to know his own rights and those of his neighbors, are natural products of the Anerican Political System. The government neither stands on the grant of a superior, nor secures itself by keeping the people in subjection. For the sake of international comity and good neighborhood, it asks recognition, and courtesy, and justice from other nations, as its equals in rank, but would peril everything rather than concede that it owes its validity to the grant of any potentate, or depends for its continnance upon the strength of any foreign power. It would carry us over too much ground, to show in detail how perfectly such a government must shape itself to the people, and how such a perople would grow up to their government, until it would become impossible to mold either the people or the government into compliance with the opposite political system. It were more practicable to exterminate them from the face of the earth than to make them patient and submissive subjects of a government imposed upon them without their consent. It is more to our present purpose to consider the workings of this political system upon the international relations of independent states. And the
first thought which miggenth itaelf is, that each state, ereating its own govermment for ita own purposes, will neseaurily have suoh a government ai it prefens, auch as it can create, eas alminister, and san support, and defend-and no other. And bewce it does not achnit the right of any combination of states to judpe for monther atate what is best for it, or to dictate to another what it may or may not have for itself. The people living under anch institutions would feel an interest in the progrese of civil liferty everywhere, and would extend a cheering eympathy to ayy peor ple who were atruggling worthily to obtain the boon of self-gurcrmment; but the nation itself would maintain a pure and impartial neutrality, unles some extreme case should arise in which our own safety was involved, or where the voice of outraged hmmanity might call for interponition. We would neither attempt to force such institutions upon the unwilling, nor purchase them for the incompetent. Whatever people would hare them mast win them; and if they would enjoy them, mut keep them. In a word, the principle of non-intervention, whieh mane stateamen are vainly endeavoring to graft upon the politieal nytem of Europe, is the natural growth of the American system, or rather, it is a necessary part of the life of nociety on this Western Continent-to be asserted on all occasions, and maintained at all hazards.

The European system in its full-blown development under the domination of the Holy Alliance, brought all Europe under its control. The final struggle for popular rights was made in Spain, where the Cortes adopted a constitution by their own anthority, and compelled the king to accept its conditions. Ferdinand the VII appealed to the Holy Alliance to restore him to his legitimate prerogative, of governing by hereditary right, and making his people contented with such privileges as he saw fit to give them. It was a test case, and the aboolutists were eqnal to the occasion. By their advice and consent, France sent ant overwhelming army into Spain, in aid of the king, and totally broke the power of the poprular party, learing the throne as absolute as any in Europe. Entrope was tramquilized, in the Vienna sense, and the Holy Alliance was at liberty to turn its attention to other continents for conquests to win, or dangens to reproses

But while these struggles had been going on in Enrope, and partly in consequence of them, a great change had come over the political aspect of the New World. Our country no longer ntood alone as the exponent of the American political system, and the object of absolutist jealousy. But this republic found itself at the head of a glorions sisterhood of free and independent states. The whole congeries of Spanish colonies on the continent of America, although in apparently the least possible preparation for the enjoyment of free institutions, had been first thrown loose from the control of the parent country by the breaking up of the regular government, through the ambition of Bonaparte; and having thus been compelled to assume the functions of self-govermment, they had severally, each by and for itself, successtully asserted and won their independence. The case is presented in a statesman-like way by Mr. Adams, when Secretary of State under President Monroe, in his letter of instructions to Mr. Anderson, the tirst American Minister to one of the Spanish Republics, dated May 27th, 1823 :

> "The revolution of the Spanish Colonies was not caused by theoppression under which they had been held, however great it had been. Their independence was first forced upon them by the temporary subjugation of Spain herself to a foreign power. They were, by that event, cast upon themselves, and compelled to establish governments of their own. Spain, through all the vicissitudes of her own revolutions, has clung to the desperate hope of retaining, or of reclaiming them to her own control ; and has waged, to the extent of her power, a disastrous war, to that extent. In the mind of every rational man, it has been for years apparent that Spain can never succeed to recover her dominion where it has been abjured; nor is it possible that she can long retain the small remnant of her authority yet acknowledged in some spots of the South American continent."

It was a great and glorious change for America, and was not unappreciated by the great men who were then at the head of affairs in this country. Mr. Webster said, in his celebrated oration at the laying of the corner stone of the Bunker Hill monument, June 17 th, 1825 , that " among the great events of the half century, we must respect certainly the revolution of South America; and we are not likely to overrate the importance of that revolution, either to the growfl of the country itself, or to the rest of the world. When the battle of Bunker Hill was

- fought, the existence of South America was scarcely felt in the
eivilized world. The thirteen litule coloties of Nortit Amerion Inbitually ealled themwelve the continems. Porne down by colonial sulijugation, monopoly, and bigotry, thome vat negions of the Kontli werv hardly risible above the horizon. But in mar day there has been, at it were, a new ereation. The suathern heuni-phere emerges from the sea. Its lofty momutain begien to lift themaelves into the light of hearen; ito broas and fertile phinstretch ont in bemty to the eye of civilized bunt and at the biddting of the voice of political liberty, the waters of darknese retire."

With the exception of the British Prorinces north of ns, the power of Europe was driven from the continent. From the laken to Cape Horn, every foot of land had ceaved to belong to the European political system, or to be in any way respousible for the "Balance of Power" in the Old Word. Mexies, indeed, temporarily, and Brazil permanently, had adopted monarclical firms of government, but they were entirely American in interent. Fortmately, we had men in the administration of oirr government, who posessed both the windom and the patriotian to comprehend the situation, and act as the occasion required. It was the golden period of our political history. The devotion to pobslie interests which characterized the dare of the revolution had not diod unt, for Jefferson, Madison, Marshall,' Rufus King, and many of their compatriots, were still alive. The native nagacity of our early statesmen which had butfled the diplomatic skitl of Europe, had been ripened by the practical experience of thiry yeurs in the administration of aflairs at home and abrom. Prinate interent had not become so large as to withdraw meet of the ablet ment from public service. Party spirit had not enten out the keen sense of what becomes the honor of the country. Anil sliery had not yet extinguished patriotism in half the states of tho Union. It was in the lull of party strife called " the era of good teclings." It was the transition period between the patriotie inexperience of our infant government and the dominant seltisto. ness of late yeam. Some of the mens still in public, life had participated in the cares of govermment whem the indiffereace, if not contempt, of Europe for our insignifichuce was a shield to os against aggression. All of them had participated in the anxion

and critical period of the "second war of independence," by which we lad at length gained the respectful consideration of the European govermments. It was a crisis in our affairs, and we had men who could see its importance, and who knew how to meet it. And it is not too much to say, that if the policy which they adopted had been properly carried out by their successors, we should have heen saved from many humiliations, as well as many political evils, which have been, or will be our portion.

The Holy Alliance had no thonght of letting this whole continent slip out of their hands. The instant that they saw " the tranquility of Enrope" restored by the suppression of popular freedom in Spain, their attention was turned towards this continent, with a determination first to resnbjugate the colonies of Spain, and then to see what might le done towards breaking up the nest of dangerous principles in this country, and, if possible, put the United States into a situation where neither their doctrines nor their examples should again disturb the peace of Europe. The arrangements for this purpose were on the eve of being concluded, indeed were only waiting for the formal adhesion of England, when the sudden death of the British Secretary of Foreign Affairs laid the foundation for a change of policy in that govermment, which finally altered the whole course of events in Europe.

The Marquis of Londonderry, best known by the title of Lord Castlereagh, which he bore during the life of his father, died* by his own liand, in a fit of insanity, cansed, it was believed, loy excessive care and labor in the session of parliament then just closed. He had managed the foreign affairs of England with consmmmate ability during all the latter years of the great continental conflict in Europe, which ended with the battle of Waterloo, and had taken a distinguished part in all the negotiations for the readjustment of boundaries and other relations of all the comitries of Europe. He was in full sympathy with the reactionary govermments, and as earnest as any in favor of such measures as were thought best calculated to protect legitimate and established dynasties against all future revolutions in favor

[^0]of popular rights or democratic ideas. For tochuieal reaoms, ench tus the forme of adminitration in Englatad, he deelined to make his goverument a party in form to the leugrue of the Holy Allimee. But he neguiewed, tweitly at leant, in the Prouch fitrvasion of Spain to nuppree the Corter. Anit he dedaned to Mr. Rinsh, our minister, that England would not abeut to any pacitfcation between Spruin and the Spanidh Ameriean states, that did nite embrace the re-cstablishinent of the smpremncy of tho Sparish crown."

The death of Lord Cantlerengh (Loudonderry) gave the portfillio of Foreign Affairs to Mr. George Cuming, who lowked at public relations in a light entirely different from that seen by his predecesor. He is regarded as the mont philosophical statemman that Great Britain has hat during the rentury. Ath urigioal thinker, with sound common-cense and liberal riewz, hise charanter is not to be estimated withour taking into consideration the circumstances and influences with which he wns zurrounded. $\dagger$ He not only declined to take part in any measures for the milltary coercion of the Spanish American States, but he foon came to look at the full recognition of their independence as the only practicable method of restoring peace in South Ainerica. At the earliest practicable period atter getting puesssion of his owfice,

[^1]- Why ts a promp like Viacount (Yustermads: Bersues it is an ugly thing of wiand. Thent up and down lies awkwand arm doth play, Anf coolly spous, and ajwut, and apous away. In one wiak. wanly, cverlasting fient."
and prior to the actual invasion of Spain by the French, moder the Duke D'Angouleme, he intimated to the French govermment. that "England considered the course of events as laving substantially decided the question of the separation of the colonies from Spain," although the formal recognition of their independence by her might be hastened or retarded by varions canses. Mr. Rush, in giving an account of his first formal diplomatic in-
- terview with Mr. Canning, which was on the 16th of August, 1823, describes the informal conversation which they held on Spanish American affairs. After the regular business of the interview was disposed of, Mr. Rush introduced the subject by referring to Mr. Caming's intimation made to France, in March, and remarked that he considered that note as a distinct arowal that England would not remain passive under any attempt by France to re-suljugate the Spanish colonies. Mr. Canning then asked Mr. Rush whether it was practicable for the United States to go hand in hand with England in such a policy. Therenpon aroie a fiee and candid interchange of thoughts, broadly covering the whole case. Mr. Rush persistently pressed the inquiry to learn the precise intentions of England in regard to the acknowledgment of the independence of the late colonies, as he was satisfied that the course of the United States would be inflnenced in no small degree by this consideration. Mr. Canning said that the question of recognition was yet an open one, but finally said that he was about to send a commission of inquiry which might lead to recognition.*

We come now to the point which is of some importance, both historical and political, in its bearing on the importance to be attached to the course taken by our government. Which government, the American or the English, is entitled to the credit of taking the lead in the recognition of the Spanish-Anerican states as independent nations? On this general question there is no uncertainty. The United States originated every step, in sending out a commission of inquiry, then in appointing consuls to these governments, and finally in conceding a full recognition of their nationality, and sending ministers to negotiate treaties of amity and commerce. All this was done before the first stop

[^2]of inquiry was taken by England.* And yet Mr. Canning is said to have clamed that he " njoke thie wonl which ealled nastions into leving in the New World, to reilres the heslanee of the Old." And hie lingrapher, Stapleton, lahars to prove that the bold position taken by President Monrue originated in the emeretions,

[^3]and was strengthened by the promised smpport of Mr . Canning. And it has been the policy of some American politicians and writers on public affairs to take the same ground, for the purpose of depreciating the value of Mr, Monroe's declaration. We have examined, with as much care and as much impartiality as we were able, all the evidence within our reach, and we have no hesitation in giving judgment that the course of our government Was in no sense originated by the forethought or the sagacity of British statesmen, or emboldened by their courage, or the expectation of their countenance and support, but is to be credited in full to the wisdom and sagacity and patriotic courage of the American administration. And any attempt in any quarter to disparage the importance, or discredit the independence of this proceeding, is unjust and wrongful in Englishmen, and unpatriotic and mean in Americans. Of course it is impossible to present, in these pages, a detailed summary of the evidence on which this judgment rests. We can only indicate a few of the leading points in the case.

Mr. Stapleton, in his elaborate memoir of the public life of Mr. Canning, represents that statesman as having a desire to recognize the Spanish American states, with a view to comnteract certain apprehended schemes of the French government, who might seek to acquire some of those territories as an indemnity for the cost of the invasion which restored absolutism in Spain. "It was with this view," he says, "that towards the latter end of August, 1823," he "sounded Mr. Rush, as to whether the moment were not arrived when the two governments" "might not come to some understanding with each other on the subject," so as to unite in some statement of principles, dec. Memoir, Vol. I., p. 24. And this account of the affair is followed and substantially copied by the North American Review for 1856, Vol. 82, p. 487.

Now we have Mr. Rush's own account of this interview, from which it is plain that it was Mr. Rush who introduced the sub)ject, and who not only "sounded" Mr. Canning, but interrogated him, and persisted in seeking the desired information as to his views, and pressed upon him the direct and simple and American method of dealing with the difficulty, by immediate recognition,
as the wiseat and eafest policy. And it is imperitale to read Mr. Rushis book withuat the conviction that he it in mot considerate writer, conerientionaly careful to make his statements in the most exact accordance with truth, and singularly froe from a doire to magnify his own merits or glorify his owu abilitios, or in any woy to exalt his own reputation at the expense of the tritli, or of any other person. There is no molern writer whoee atatewents bast more convincing marks of calm and exact verity.

It was on the l估h of Augut, 1823, that Mr. Rosh had lis tirst formal diplomatic conference with the new averetary. It was hede at the particular request of Mr. Rush, for the enpecial purpose of opening negotiations on five or eix eubjects, (all mmconnected with Spanish America), which had been partienlarly and freshly committed to him by his govermont. He saye of the conferance. "The proper oliject of it heing over. I tramsiently asked lim," Mr. Caming, "ome question concerning the apeot of athuirs in Spain, as the detection of Ballusteros from the conlstitutional canse had given rise to much apprehension of timal disuster." Receiving a general responee in the ame tenor, Mr. Rush remarked that there would be one consolution left, that Great Britain wonld not allow the Powers to stop the emaneiption of the colonies. This remark he based upon Mr. Canning's letter to the French minister, dated March 31, 1523, which siuply expressed the belief of England that no attempt would be made ly France to bring any of the Spanish colonies under her dominion, either by conquest or cossion. Mr. Camning, withont a positive assent, asked what the American government would shar to a joint morement with England tor this object. Mr. Rush replied that he had no instructions on that point, but would make the inquiry informully if it was desired, but could do it with more adrantage if he knew the precise pusition of Englamd townrdo those countries, especially as to the materinl puitt of acknowledging their independence. Mr. Canniug admitted bis own belief that America was lost to Europe, but England unst for the present lenvo the question open for Spain to do what she could towards making terms with the colonies. Mr. Rwah, "wishing tu be still more especinlly enlightened," proeal the inquiry whether England was "contemplating any steps which

had reference to the recognition." Mr. Canning answered that it was proposed to send out a commission of inquiry to Mexico, such aş the United States sent in 1817 to Buenos Ayres. And then he suggested the specific proposal that the two countries should, in some unobjectionable way, canse it to be known that they were agreed in the opinion that France ought not to extend her efforts at subjugation to the colonies. Mr. Rush expressed no opinion either for or against this suggestion, but promised to commmicate it to his govermment. See Memoranda, Vol. II., pp. 397-404.

Such, we have no doubt, is a true liistory of the "somuding" process, as it took place on the 16th of August. On the 22d, Mr. Canning, in turn, "sounded Mr. Rusl," by an "unofficial and confidential" note, renewing the suggestion which the latter had finally drawn from him, of a joint declaration against-firther attempts to snbjugate the colonies, and inquiring whether he considered himself authorized to sign a convention, or to exchange ministerial notes to that effect. Mr. Rush replied, next day, that what his govermment most earnestly desired was to see those states "received into the family of nations by the Powers of Europe, and especially by Great Britain ;" that the sentiments in the note were shared by the United States, who considered the recovery of the colonies of Spain to be entirely hopeless, and would "regard as highly unjust, and as fruitful of disastrous consequences, any attempt on the part of any European power, to take possession of them by conquest, by cession, or on any other ground or pretext whatever," but that his instructions were silent as to any mamer in which these principles should be avowed. We camnot go over the whole of this negotiation, Mr. Rush's accomnt of which extends to above forty pages; but the intelligent reader will see in the sentence last quoted, the spirit, and almost the very language of President Monroe's declaration, issued three months afterwards. Whoever examines it attentively will see that Mr. Rush adhered, throughout the correspondence and comferences, to the one indispensable point, of recognition, as the preliminary, declining to take any step or agree to any measure until that was accorded; while he at the same time maintained a scrnpulons regard for our friendly relations with both France and

Spain. On the other hand, Mr. Canning emtinually avoiled the promiso of recognition at once, evidently with a view to mecore advantagee which he hoped to gain for England by the delay. The firtheat he could go was to any that England would arknowlelge the independence of the colonies at once, "in ease Prinee ahould employ force" to subjugate them, or if Spain "shonld atternpt to put a stop to the trade of Britain" with them. And he tinally closed the conference on the $2 B t h$ of Novemher, by informing Mr. Rush that he had judged it best for England to act alone, and had accordingly already entered into commanieation with France on the subject. He therefore wished the whole affiar, as far as concerned a united movement with this country, to remain as it had been, informal and unofficial-" not an a proposition already made, but as evidence of the nature of one which it would have been his desire to make," had Mr, Rush been empowered to respond to it.

On the 2d of December, 1523, President Monroe communieated his aunual Missage to Congress, in which he laid down, broadly and clearly, the doctrine held by this government omcerning the new relation subsisting between this continent and the nations of Europe. After alluding with deep interest to the struggles for liberty in Greece, and to the disappointment of our expectations in regard to Spain and Portugal, he proceede to observe-

- Of events in that quarter of the globe with which we have so much linten counse, and from which we derive ous origin, we have always been anxions and internsted spectators. The citizens of the United States cherish santimeate the mowt friendiy in favor of the liberty and happinoes of their fellowemen on that thite of the Atiantic. In the wars of the Eamponan Powent, in matiens molifge
 wnit to do. It is only when our righte are invadel, or seriously menaced, that we reme injuries, or make preparations for our defonse. With she movemente is this hemisplere, we are of necuedty morn immediately connected, and by cacese which maat in obvious to all enlightenal and impartial otwervere. The pallitioal kysteun of the Allied Powers in csaentillily different in thits mopect trem that of America. This diffinnee procevels from that which exista in thels nopeolive governmentas And to the defense of our own, which has teven achievel widh an much expenes of blowal and triasure, and maturnd hy the wialom of thets moet enlightenod cltizens, and under which wro have enjoged most anexampled ailirlty, this whelo mation fis devertect. Weo owe to, therefires, tet canderr, and to fle amios ble relations sulwiming Ixtween the Enitesl States and there Powers, to deckem.
that we ahould consider any attempt on their part to extend their kystem to any portion of this hemisphere as dangerous to our prace and safoty. With the existing colonies or dependencies of any Eumpean lower we have not interfered, and shall not interfere. But with the governments who have declared their independence, and maintained it , and whose independence we have, on great consideration, and on just principles, acknowledged, we could not view any Interposition, for the purposes of oppressing them, or controlling in any other manner their deating, by any European Power, in any other light than as tha manifestation of an unfriendly disposition toward the United States. In the war between these Governments and Spain, we delared our neutrality at the time of their recognition, and to this we have adhered, and shall continue to adhere, provided no change shall occur, which, in the judgment of the competent authorities of this government, shall make a corresponding change on the part of the United States indispensable to their security."

He also informs Congress that in the pending negrotiations with Russia, which he had entered upon, through a desire, "by this friendly proceeding, of manifesting the great value which we have invariably attached to the friendship of the Emperor, and our solicitude to cultivate the best understanding with his gorernment," he had judged the occasion a proper one "for asserting, as a principle in which the rights and interests of the United States are involved, that the American continents, by the free and independent condition which they have assuned and maintain, are henceforth not to be considered subjects for future colonization by any European power."

These paragraphs, taken together, present three distinct articles of faith or principles of action, growing out of the newly won independence of the Spanish American countries.

1. That the American continents, (leaving out the islands), are henceforth not to be considered subject to any future colonization by any European nation.
2. That we shall consider any attempt on the part of the European powers to extend their political system to any portion of this hemisphere as "dangerons to our peace and safety," and of course to be comteracted or provided against as we shall deem advisable in any case.
3. That for any Enropean power to interfere with any Americangovernment for the purpose of oppressing or dictating to them unjustly, or of controlling their destiny by force or threats, would be viewed by us as "the manifestation of an anfiriendly
"lifpmition towirds the Taited States," whiels we alumate be coflowt upou to notice by proted or remomstnues, or io andh wiy as we shonld think oor honor and interest reqpired.

This diolaration, so plain, to explicit, sud so flrm, delectrifiel Eirrope, wheh hat boguin to learn, by the ronlts of the war of 1819 , that the United States wore to be repectol for their strengih, even where they were hated for their free inatitutions luteol, it may be maid to have astoniahed both contiments by the boldress of front which it ameumed. Mr. Ruah telle as that " when the mesage arrived in London, the whole doenment excited great attention. It was upon all tongres, the jrees was full of it, the Spanish American deputies were overjoyed, Spmish American securities rose in the market, and the safety of the new states from European coercion was considered as no longor doultfinl." Vil. IL, p. 458. Mr. Stapleton, the biographer of Canning, says that "coupled with the refisml of Great Britain to bake part in a Congress, similar to those which had met at Vlemna, Aix la Chapelle, Laybach, and Veroma, it effectually put an eenl to the project of assembling one, since, with the intentions of Great Britain and the United States thus unequivocally deelarod. such an dasembly wonld have been utterly unable to have given eflect io its own resolutions." Fol. II, p. 40.

In the debate on the reply to the King's apeech at the opeming of Parliament, February bith, 1823, Mr. Brongham said, "The question with regard to South America was now, he believed, di-posed of, or nearly so; for one event had recently happened, than which no event had over dispersed greater joy, exultation, and gratitude, over all the freemen of Europe ; that event which was decisive on the subject, was the langunge lad with respeat to Spanish America in the apeech or message of the Presilent of the United States to the Congress". He proceeded tu state, as an indi-putable fict, that "Ferdinand had beon promised hy the Emperor Alexander, that if the King of Spain would throw off the constitutional fetters hy which ho was trammeled. he wouk asmist him in recovering his transatlentic dominions." "In that case, however, sasistance would not have been given openly, but in a covert, underhand way." And he coneluded this part of the subjoot by expressing his belief that "if the
declaration of the United States did not put an end to such attempts on the independence of the colonies; if a vigorous renistance were not opposed to such machinations, sooner or later, the liberties of those colonies would fall a sacrifice to the intrigues of Spain and the Allied Powers." Stapleton, pp. 46-47.

Sir James Mackintosh, June 15th, 1824, on the Recognition of the Spanish American States, in the House of Commons, bears this testimony to its importance:
"Although the attention of the House is chiefly directed to the acts of our own government, it is not foreign to the purpose of my argument to solicit them, for a few moments, to consider the admirable message sent on the 2 d of December, 1823 , by the President of the United States to the Congress of the great Republic. I heartily rejoice in the perfect agreement of that message with the principles professed by us to the French minister, and afterwards to all the great Powers of Europe, whether military or maritime, and to the great English State beyond the Atlantic. I am not anxious to ascertain whether the message was influenced by our communication, or was merely the result of similarity of principle, and coincidence of interest. The United States had, at all events, long preceded us in the recognition. They sent consuls and commissioners two years before us, who found the greater part of South America quiet and secure, and in the agitations of the remainder met with no obstacles to friendly intercourse. This recognition neither interrupted amicable relations with Spain, nor occasioned remonstrance from any Power in Europe. They solemnly renew that declaration in the message before me. That wise government, in grave but determined language, and with that reasonable and deliberate tone, which becomes true courage, proclaims the principles of her policy, and makes known the cases in which the care of her own safety will compel her to take uparms for the defense of other states. I have already observed the coincidence with the declarations of England; which, indeed, is perfect, if allowance bo made for the deeper, or, at least, more immediate interest in the independence of South Anerica, which near neighborhond gives to the United States. This coincidence of the two great English commonwealths, (for 80 I delight to call them, and I heartily pray that they may be forever united in the cause of justice and liberty), cannot be contemplated without the utmost pleasure by every enlightened citizen of either. Above all, Sir, there is one coincidence between them, which is, I trust, of happy augury to the whole civilized world:-they have both declared their neutrality in the American contest, as long as it shall be confined to Spain and her former colonies, or as long as no foreign power shall interfere."

Mr. Webster, in his great speech in Congress, on the Panama Mission, April 11th, 1826, expressed his entire concurrence in the sentiment expressed by other gentlemen, that "this Declaration of Mr. Monroe was wise, reasonable, and patriotic." Anid he had understood that "it was considered, weighed, and dis-
tisctly approved by every one of the Previtentis advinace at thast time." He whlds that "it met with the entire senearromee and the hearty approbation of the comitry. The tome wlowd it otaseal found a corresponding response in the heart of the freo propple of the United States." And ho thus eloquently describet its Heneral reeption and effect:
"The poople raw, and thoy rofolced to mast, thas on a fis oocoulen, wer wrieht hed leen thrown intos the right gcale, and that, without departing frome par duty, we had dunn motaething uwful, wornmining effictual, for then canae at drit lite ry
 erty, one conscloun and proud perception of the consideration wheld thes onantry prensaci, and of the rewpect and honer which telobged to it, perveled all bestese Pieibly, she puhlic enthumiarm went too far; it certalely dild go ser
 eiven. Its foree was filt everywhere, by all thoee who could undentand ite objoet and foreser its effect. In that very llouse of Commons, of which ithe ges. tleman frous South Camolina has spoken with such commendation, how wae it noelved? Not only, Slr, with approbation, but, I may may, with no litule enthasiam. Whilo the leading mininter [Mr. Canuing] expreand hin entere casous rence in the mintiments and opinions of the Ainerican Prowideat, hle divitagulah. id coreputitor [Mr. Brougharn] In that popular body, hee rewtralned by eftidet desurum, and more at llberty in give utterunce to all the feolliggn of the omakm. dielined that no occeasion had ever ervated gruater Joy, exultaidin, and gmatiside among all the free men in Enompe; that he felt pride in belny somoneled ly libuxl anil language with the people of the United states; that the fieling die clowd by the mereago became a great, a free, and an Intifjudeat natine: and that he hopert his own country would be prevented by no mean pride, ar palsry jualousy, from following as noble and glorious an exampla."

Such a declaration, so uttered, and received with such distinguished consideration, and followed by so momentons realts. uught not to be regarded as of trifling significance or of tramsient authority. By it the United States took the position whiele of right belonged to them, as the tinst of American republics, the proper representative of Americm principles, the finthful defender of American interests. It was as Mr. Edward Livingotem termod it, "a pledge to the world," and involved national ubligations and responsibilities which will never die out, su long we wo remain a free republic. For the obligations asmmed by uationt do not die with thase who incurred them, or cense to bind becanane not duly valued by a succeeding generation. It became and is to us, in our relations with both Europe and America, the point of honor, in losing which, we become a base nation, for honor is
the chastity of mations, as patriotism is the faith of their citizens. It is to le regretted that so many of our own politicians, from one motive and another, have either greviously misapprehended the import of the declaration, or have been insensible of its im. portance as well as of its permanent force. The learned and judicions compilers of Appleton's Cyclopedia have correctly pronounced it "a platform of principle on this important sulject, which has been approved by the prominent statesmen of the country, from the time of its proclamation to the present."

It was perhaps unfortunate that the Monroe Doctrine, shortly after its promulgation, but under a change of political party tactics, became mixed up with the discussions concerning the Congress of Panama. Narrow-minded partisans, on the one side and the other, thought it necessary to attack or defend the administration by expanding or narrowing the scope of this doctrine, until it finally seemed to many that the Panama Congress was the culmination of the Monroe Doctrine, which perished when that failed. Whereas the Panama Congress was, at the most, but a measure designed to apply and carry out the Monroe Doctrine, if found advisable in a certain connection.

Mr. Benton, in his "Abridgment of the Debates," makes a note to this part of President Monroe's Message, quoting a passage from President Adams's Panama Message, where he states it as one of the objects of consultation at the proposed Congress, whether it was advisable to form "an agreement between all the parties represented at this meeting, that each will guard, by its own means, against the establishnent of any future Enropean colony within its borders;" and says this is "an authoritative exposition of the scope and extent of the Monroe Doctrine." Whereas, the exclusion of European colonization was but one of three distinct points of the Monroe Doctrine, and the measure suggested by Mr. Adams, so far from defining the "extent and scope," was merely an application of the doctrine to a transient occasion. The Administration saw indications of a tendency among the new republics to fling themselves upon the protection of our government, without proposing to make use of their own resources for their own defense. And they were anxions to have the conference so managed as to lead these infant nations to a
manly asaunption of the dignity of independenes, tewching them to feel ita responsibilities, by practiang its duties of selfasertion and melf protretion, as well as to enjoy its benefite. And to effect this result, they projected the agreement referred to. But that was not itwelf the Monroe Doctrine, uor did it determine either the "soppe and extent" of the doctrine, or the course to whidi it might lead our government at other times or under ofther eincumstances.

Mr. Benton further describes the occasion of the deelaration: that the "Holy Alliance for the maintenance of the onder of things which they had established in Europe, took it under asiviement to extend their care to the young Amerisan repulaios of spaniah origin, and to convert them into monarchios to be governed by sovereigns of European stocks-such ine the Holy Allies might put upon them. It was against the extension of this Enropenn system to the two Americas that Mr. Monroe protested." And the North American Revieno for 1856, in an article displaying no inconsiderable aequaintance with historical facte pertaining to the question, says of the declaration:

[^4]It is true that the oceasion of the Monroe Declaration whe mis is described. But the cause was the antagonism of the two political systems of Europe and America, and the object was not merely to prevent the present danger of invation, but to warn off the incompatible system from ever attempting to force itself upon this continent. The danger was transient, but the eaune of the danger was permanent, and the principle enunciated was of general application, as long as the canse remaina, in the exitence of an ineompatible system, which its supporters deeired to make universal. The utterances of great principles which are most effective, are commonly made upon oceasionk. So it is with the scriptures of truth, The law of natious has been wromglit out and formed into a tolerably logical syytem of general painciples, solely through the methods by which gorernmente have
met necasions. And to argue that great primeiples put forth, like those of the Momroe Doctrine, to meet an occasion, therefore "cease to be of any force" "as soon as the crisis which called it forth had passed," is to bury out of sight all the lessons of history and all the wisdom derived from human experience. The Monroe Doctrine was not so understood by those who advanced it. The meditated intervention or invasion, and even the international conference which was to arrange for it, were stifled in their inception by this bold declaration of the determination of a great people. The danger which called forth the utterance passed away at the instant that word was proclaimed. But the administration, which sent forth so potent a declaration, intended that it should serve for the future as well as the present. This is proved by the earnestness with which Mr. Monroe reiterated the Doctrine, with its reasons, after the existing danger had passed away. Speaking of the Spanish American States, whose independence was not yet acknowledged by Europe, the Message to Congress of December 7th, 1824 , says:
"The deep interest which we take in their independence, which we have acknowledged, and in their enjoyment of all the rights incident thereto, especialIy in the very important one of instituting their own governments, has been declared, and is known to the world. Separated as we are from Europe by the great Atlantic Ocean, we can have no concern in the wars of the European. Governments, nor in the causes which produce them. The Balance of Power between them, into echichever scale it may turn in its various vibrations, cannot affect us. It is the interest of the United States to preserve the most friendly relations with every power, and on conditions fair, equal, and applicable to all. But in regard to our neighbors our situation is diffurent. It is impossible for the European Governments to interfere in their concerns, especially in those alluded to,"-[of instituting their own governments]-" which are vital, without affecting us; indeed, the motive which might induce such interference in the present state of the war between the parties, if war it may be called, would appear to be equally applicable to us. It is gratifying to know that some of the Powers with whom we enjoy a very friendly intercourse, and to whom these views have been communicated, have appeared to acquiesce in them."

This settles the question as to the scope and extent of the Monroe Doctrine, and the permanent force which it was intended to possess. Mr. Monroe here used the technical phrase, "Balance of Power," to designate the "political system" which he would spurn. It was not merely the defeat of the threntened invasion that he amed at, nor even a counterblast to the Holy

Alliame that lee willied to phit forth. Sat hes would sepronte an foncoer from thes complifatione of the Ialance of Power is Est rope, and vindivate forever the right of Amcriean mations to construet theif own govepamenta mecorditg to their own riewe of their own welfere, without the liablity of interforeme by oflior govarumente intent upon serving their own interest. The great delifaration and forethought with which our govermment fortoed ite conolusions, as well at the independence of Elurujess suppe thon or inthenee with which it weted, is ahown by the extroperedonee which the President held with Mr. Jeffiernot, at a dafo before it was presible fior him to have lesurued angthing definite coneerniage Mr. Canniug's intentions as to recognition. Ansextruet of a letter from the sage of Monticello to Mr. Monros duted the 24 th of October, 1523 , ahow alwo the views entertainad by both of these learned and expurienced statemen, an to the breadth of sospe and permanence of mpplication of the primegplos ander considention:






 termele (North ainl South,) diatiect frem theo of Earope, and peediarly her swn. She alivild, there fors, have a syatem of ber own, eyprave and ajart from



The Notionall Intelligenoer, a paper in which we used to henk only for the elevated utterances of an enlightened patriatiso, had an editorial Article in it isaue of March 11th, 1563, designed to show that the Monroe Dectrine was nothing more than "a coveas addresed to the Holy Alliance, and so of merely temporary import." And it concludes that,
 there was bo nomedy for its enforecment. We have no dilpoeltion to cill it a
 but it ro lonper exlete sare as a Proldeutial prootent which Conpros idechoed
 ataves diengrearel which gave it Iffe and activity. In a similiar juseisen is would remain for the wiadum of the country to decide, upora a nimilise cossen, If that should the conalibered the mont expedient and proper."

This Article has been supposed to have a common origin with the more elaborate disquisition in the North American Review for $A$ pril. 1856, the views and arguments being much alike, and coming to a similar conclusion, which is thus expressed in the

## Revicas:

"While, therefore, the Monrne Doctrine with regard to forcible intervention was still a living question, it failed to meet the sanction of Congress, in whose judgment it secmed at least prudent to delay the adoption of any measures cor roborative of the President's nuggestions, until such iatervention had actually taken place. The declnration of the President did not cormmit the policy of tho country to any specific action in the premises. It rested with Congress to give it life and activity, and this Congress declined to do. Upon the wisdom of this decision we do not undertake to pronounce; we merely state the facts, for the purpose of drawing the concluston that this branch of the Monroe Doctrine is not a living and sulstantive principle of our governmental policy. In case, however, of any emergency similar to that which prompted the declaration of Mr. Monroe, it would be competent for Congress to resuscitate and enforce the principto he announced, not because it was the doctrine of Mr. Monroe, but becausi, it might be deemed wise and expedient at the time. Let the dead past bury its dead. To act in the living present is as sound a maxim in public affairs as in private lifo." Vol. 82, pago 493.

It is a mistake, into which we are surprised that so able a statesman as General Cass has also fallen, to suppose that the Monroe Doctrine lacks any element of force or authority in consequence of not having been formally confirmed or enacted by Congress. It is a matter that pertains exelusively to the President, and his declaration gives it complete validity. By the distribution of powers in our frame of government, questions of international relation and diplomacy, except the declaration of war, are committed to the executive department. A resolution of approval, or even an act of Congress, may sometimes be of value, in any emergency, to show that the representatives of the people by states and districts are in full accord with the President, who acts for the whole nation as a unit. But the nation is as fully committed, and foreign powers are at liberty and bound to recognize our national determination on such a point, in a declaration of the President of the United States, as though the matter had been solemnly enacted by both Houses of Congress, and even ratified by the people in town meeting all over the country.

But it is equally a mistake to suppose that the Holy Alliance,
the Balance of Power, ir the Politieal Syatern of Emrone, are no longer of conoers to us, or that the dagere is paed of a Earopean invaion for the parpose of dietatisg to Amarican mations the form of governmont under which they may live. If the Holy Altance wero indeed utterly ubrogated and forgotien, is would not thenefore follow that there is no longer notam to fear the introduction of the Europenn syntem of politios in this liemispleere. The Balance of Power is still the central ldes of Eurropean statnsmanchip. The doctrine still prevaits, chat rightsare not inherent in the people, but granted to them by the erown or the conqueror: and that it cumot be a valid or "stable" goveresment which has beencreated solely by the will of the people, anil holds its anthority from no higher source than "the connant of the governed." Unhappy Greece, which succumbed to the Europems system, is at this day as destitute of the blowings of good government as the most unfortmate of the Ameriean republics which rejected that system. And every nation in Europe stands liable to interlerence from its neighbore, for endsame with purposes lying outside of the mutual relations between it and the interfering powers. Nor were the statesmen of Europe evor more eager than they are to-day, to make their system of policy as dominant in the New World as it is in the Old. Thoes persons are doubtless greatly mistaken who imagine that the Great Rebellion was inaugurated without help or counael from Enrope; or that the confident relianee upon European help sprung ouly from the heated imuginations of the erch traitons; or that the instant recognition of belligerent rights in the rebels was a somb den after-thought, snggested at the moment; or that the command of vast resonrees in Europe, by the rebels, whe merely a matter of private arrangement with Mears. Spence and Laird, and their associates. Gireat effects require adequate canees. It is lardly anpposable that the ready coalition and instant action of the three powers, England. France, and Spain, which united in the invasion of Mexien for the purpone of imponing a government upon that free people, were the effeet merely of a ralken resolve to improve an umbokerl-for opportunity. We abost rather believe that there was, somewhere, it pre-exiating concert of design, to help the rebellion into full being, and thus make on op:
portunity, while our government was embarrassed, to overtlirow the Monroe Doctrine, and get at once a firm footing on this coutinent for the political system of Europe. It will require a succinct but careful examination of this Mexican affair, to show precisely the present position of our government in regard to the Monroe Doctrine in its practical applications under the existing aspect of affairs in Europe.

Almost simultaneously with the attack on Fort Sumter, as if by one and the same impulse, Spain obtained possession of the eastern provinces of St. Domingo, through the treachery of the President Santana, and made that fine island again a colony, our own government quietly aequiescing in this first grand outrage against the Monroe Doctrine. On the 29th of June, 1861, Mr. Corwin, our minister to Mexico, called the attention of our gorermment to the inklings he had heard of a project of intervention in Mexican affairs by France and England; and he asks how that will affect the great idea of free grovermment on this continent, and exclains: "Surely American statesmen should be awake to even a suspicion that such portentious events are possible." He reasons: "The towering ambition of Napoleon to regulate Europe, when it shall have been gratified in that quarter, will seek to dazzle the world by impressing upon this continent the idea of French glory and French supremacy." That wild suggestion is now history. Mr. Seward replied, August 24th, that "This govermment cherishes the actual independence of Mexico as a cardinal object, to the exclusion of all foreign intervention, * * yet the present moment does not seem to me an opportune one for personal reassurance of the policy of the govermment to foreign nations. Prudence requires that, in order to surmonnt the evils of faction at home, we slould not umecessarily provoke debates with foreign countries, but rather repair, as speedily as possible, the prestige which those evils have impaired." Wisdon would have dietated, what experience has sadly confirmed, that the national "prestige" would be best maintained by a frank and firm communication of our unalterable adhesion to the positions of Mr. Monroe. Instead of which, Mr. Seward wrote on the same day to Mr. Adams, our minister to England, to ascertain if the British government will forhear hostilities againat Mexico, on
coudition that wernould nin the latter is the payment of certain chims. A month liter, Sept. 2tits, lie initructed Mr. Adans "to inform the goverument of Great Britain that thit govemment looke with deop consern to the subjest of the anoed oporement," then pablicly talked of, and to aik "fluranchesplanations of it as her Majesty may feel at liherty to give." bot groobiling the reynest, not on the preitions of the Manroe Doctrine, but un " the intimations we have already given in regerel to ath amougrtion of the phyment of interest on the Mexicin deht." la alike spirit he wrote to Mr. Dayton, March 3t, 1861 :

- We have acted with monleration and with genal faith tawnele the flone-thers. em which invited ois cooperation in tholr combiael expedition to that disiariet and uabelty country. We have retid upmo thatr divilatorts of all pollined
 the indieationen which, unexplained, are celenilated to luduce a hellet that the fovernment of Prance has lent a faveringes to Mextean teileartes, whe Kave propeosl to subvert the republican Amerioin syeteas in Mexdoo, and to fapport Into that country a chrone anil even a monarch from Eunije-
"You will Intinate to M. Thouvenill thas rumors of thie kisel have movind the Preident, and awakened some ansiety on his jort. Joik will ey that goes are not aithorized to ank explanatlons, but gou are sam that if aby cas he mate. which will te calculatest to relleve that anxlety, thiny wiff le very metcomp, lawmuch as the United States devire nothing so much an 80 malataln a goad ublonstanding and corlla! relations with the goverament and jougle of France
"It will harlly be neccarary to do morv in assigning your memma fir thit pmo centing on your pars than to say that we have more shan onor, and with perfect diethectnes and candur, informed atl the parties to the allianes that we canout look with iadifterene upon any avowed intervention five pailitial venls is a
 thec, A pril, 180 s.

This deprecatory, apologetic, almont fawning approumh to tho British and French govermments, coutrasts with the manly tome of a better day. In the year 1825 , the government of Frames sent a large fleet to the American sens withont giving notioe to this govermment, or any explanntion of the object. Mr. (Zay, then Secretary of State under President J. Q. Adams, inatrueted Mr. Brown, our minister, Oct. 25, 1825, to inform the I'reneh govermment that the Propiclent expects that " the purpuse of amy similar movement hereafter," should be frankly emmonnieated to this govermment. And he alderd that "it any sensilility shumb be manifested to what the. French minister myy chowen to mezand
as suspicions entertained here," he was to disayow those suspicions, but at the same time recapitulate the circumstances that gave apparent force to our surprise as to the objects of the movement. Mr. Brown replied, Jan. 10, 1826, that he had, "in the most delicate and friendly manmer, put it to the Baron de Danas," the French Secretary, that in case France should again send out an unusual force, "its design and object should be communicated to the govermment of the United States." The Baron de Damas explained the peculiar circumstances of the case, and promised, in behalf of France, that, " in future, the United States should be duly apprised of the objects of every such squadron sent into their vicinity." That promise has never been vacated, and its fulfillment should have been directly and eategorically demanded by us on the first demonstrations towards the invasion of Mexico. But no such demand was made. On the contrary, Mr. Dayton was directly inhibited from asking any explanations whatever. And he was directed, April 22d, 1862, to say that "M. Thouvenel's assurances on the subject of Mexico are eminently satisfactory to the President."

It is believed that our ministers abroad, Messrs. Adams, Dayton. Corwin, and Schurtz, diḍ all that was becoming their station to do, to impress upon the administration the true objects of the coalition, the importance of our own interests that were imperiled, and the hollowness of the pretexts with which we were turned off. That it was the intention of the coalition to effect a change of government in Mexico, was notorious to all Europe. It was impossible for our ministers to shut their eyes upon facts -so patent. We find Mr. Dayton, in a letter to Mr. Seward, June 5 th, 1862, after some repetition of M. Thouvenel's fallacious disclaimers, adding with evident humiliation :
"It may be difficult to reconcile the published opinions of the commissioners acting for England and Spain in Mexico with these declarations of the French government ; but your original dispatch instructed me to say that I was not authorized to demand explanations, though the government would be happy to receive them. These explanations have been freely given; if they conflict with what has been said and done elsewhere, I have not felt at liberty, under my instructions, to make such conflict the sulject of comment.
"Were it supposed, however, that France proposed to change the form of government, and establish a monarchy in a republic next to and adjoining our own. it is not to be doubted that, upon every just principle of international law


 madel by us.

Thee whale corrmpondence, as firr as publishod, betweon our goverument and those of Enghand, Franee, and Spain, maken upon the the impresaion of a moet manitiont deaire on our part not to sees anything objectionable in the proceedinge of thee Powers, and a rery friendly willingneas on their part to mate guaernd diaclaimens of any improjer designs. There appeass secerretue readinese on our part to aceept such ambiguone diselaimen fie a great deal morc than they exprosed, and a carefnl avoilance of what was our obrious conme if we were in carnest, which was, to ask the allied Powers what were their objects, and what they intended to do to attain them. This direct request whe what we had a just right to make, and to insist upon a trank and fall esplauation. The treaty of London, for the invasion of Mexios, wha signed on the 31st of October, and the ratifications were exchanged November 15 th, 1561 . The conlition agreed to seod a combined naval and military force sufficient to seize and oscrpy the fortresaes of Mexico, and for other operations suitable to the objoot; and they engage " not to exercise in the internal affain of Mexico my inflnence of a nature to prejudice the right of the Mexican untion to choose and to constitute freely the form of ite government." This carefully studied phriseology is to be interpreted by the results now passing before our eyes.

It would lead us over too moch ground for the proment jourpose, to show by sample citations, that the coalition agaimt Mex. iso had for its object the extinction of the Monroe Doetrine, by the actual establishment of the "political system of Emrope" on this continent by military force, and that it was a matter of mutual expectation and calculation, that the effect of the imrasion slionld certainly be the establishment of a governmentia Mexios different from that in existence under President Juerea, and an far confurmed to European models as to constitute, acourding to their ileas, "a stable goverument." M. Billaut's apevch in the French Chamber, on the 26 th of June, 1502 , after exprosing the determination not to treat with Juarez, exclaimed,-"Let this

Mexican goverument disappear before the foree of lirance, or let it take a more serious form, which may offer some security for the finture." And the Emperor, July 3d, 1862, in his personal instructions to General Forey, on the line of conduct which be was to follow in Mexico, directs him to "declare that everything is provisional," meaning that the existing government is to be considered only informal and temporary, and without permanent anthority. And when he should have reached Mexico, he was to take measures "with the principal persons who have embraced our canse," "with the view of organizing a provisional government," composed, of course, of such parties only; the pretext being to "aid" the Mexicans in establishing "a govermment Which might have some chance of stability ;" and the assumption being, that it is not competent for a people to create such a govermment by their own will alone, unless it is granted to them by the emperor, or in some other way imposed and supported by military force. In the same letter, the Emperor gives the information of the ulterior object of the invasion; to head off the United States, and curtail the growing power of this republic, so that we may not " seize possession of all the Mexican Gulf, dominate from thence the Antilles, as well as South America, and be the sole dispenser of the products of the New World." And he anticipates that, "if a stable govermment is constituted with the assistance of France, we shall" have restored to the "Latin race on the other side of the ocean its strength and prestige," and "we shall have established our beneficent influence in the center of America." Coupled with all this is a special injunction as to the interests of religion;-by religion meaning the Church of Rome, which is the principal thing to be regarded in this whole programme of deceit and wrong.

There is not in all history a more shameless disregard of professions made and pledges accepted, than the mamer in which the Emperor of France has trampled on all that our administration credulously assumed as his promises of respect to the wishes of the people of Mexico, in any changes of government which he should promote. His general in command, in connection with the corrupt Saligny, the French minister resident, proceeded to create a new government of three persons by his own sole author-
 designated only by thomsenves, withotit the shadow of a form of consulting the will of the Mexican prople: und this uenuhly forthwith etablinher a hereditary mounrehy, tesknating Primen Maximilian us Emperor, who acepte the appointment, motogom the French army to support him in the throne. And thie is oum said hy the Court Jonrual of Vienma, Memorial Mijtomadiyars to be the carrying out of a prognial which was maile liy flae French Emperor, so long ugo as Uctoletr, 1sis1, in the dack daye of this republie which followed the fint defeat at Bull Rum. Ther eagernoss of most of the Emropean governments to congrabibte that of France upon the succes of the invasion, attests the insportance of the movement, and is a general resogntion of ita real object, the overthrow of the Monroe Doetrine, and die ex-ten-ion of the pulitical system of Earope to this continent. As the case now stands, all Europe, except Russia, is virtually eat listed in this sclieme. And thus fir, the ajparent sneceas is esmplete. The republican gorerument, instituted lyy the people, is overthrown, and in its place is a hereditary monarcley, impened from without, and maintained by military forec. dietated by the powers of Europe, und above all sanctioned by the Pope, and devoted to the interents of the Church of Rome. Sayn the Lomdon Tïmer of August 2!:


#### Abstract

"Strinty tymaking, the Fronch anny, though compomed exclosively of Phent molliens, did but reprement what are called "tropys of extecution" In the mboletirtration of confiderate tiermany. The mentence of Europ fied noor ferthe  the ectual judgment on her offienses. England and Sjuin wem bant naly if vor minul with Frauce, but were originaliy engagel evea in them eximetion of the Whtercee It is not conceivable that under any governuent whatever abo Motfotin thould fatl of being better rulect than before, and if Fropor zed A cartor ras  reppected, they will certainly leave Europe and Mexico thwir delinum.


The same paper had said on the llth-

[^5]comurecial, in rentoring the intercourne of nations with a theritory which, from lis grographical position and mineral wealth, can claim a general and almost. exceptional importance."

It is not to be expected that the pages of a quarterly review should keep pace with the daily developments of a movement still in the height of its progress. Enough has already appeared to convince every intelligent American, and to determine the firture judgment of impartial history, that the whole belongs to one scheme, that its design was hostile to the honor and safety of the United States, that its objects reached far beyond the security of the Mexican bonds, that it was a conspiracy of European powers to force the political system of Europe upon the American states, and establish here the same right of interference, dictation, and coercion over the feebler nations which has so long been maintained in Europe. Whether it shall yet be proved or not, that the original plot embraced and brought on the rebellion; there camnot remain a doubt that the coalition of England, France, and Spain, was determined on, and carried into effect, solely in consequence of the supposed inability of the United States at the moment to insist on the Monroe Doctrine. It is equally evident that the final success of the whole programme hinges upon the result of the first step, the breaking up of the American Union. If that fails, the whole fails. The apprehension of possible failure may explain the change in the policy of the Palmerston administration, in withdrawing the British forces from the actual invasion of Mexico, and allowing it to be extensisely believed that the coalition is at an end, when in truth the treaty of London is still umbroken and in full force. Lonis Napoleon, and Forey, and Almonte are but the agents of the coalition, in carrying out the "other operations" authorised and provided for in the treaty.* Both the English and American people ought to understand that the British government has with-

[^6]drawn from the "exeention" of the treaty, but not from the trenty- ae the head burglar who forces the door may leave his agents to gather the phunder, while he retreath from the noene in order to plead an alibi hereafter, but still claiming his share of the apoils. If our proopects, as neen in Euroje, should continue to brighten as they have for the past three monthe, we khall expect to see a still more manifest change in the tone of Earl Rursell's letters. Already, inatead of pushing directly for war, as in the Trent case, he contents himself with trying how far he can go in bullying and worrying without rmming into actual war. We may yet have to review his cordial compliments on the fill roestablishment of the Union, with the most friendly asenrances that this was what he always most wished to see, and what in fict he always confidently expected would be accomplished.

There are two dangers, lying back of those already cousidered, and therefore less obvions to the riew, which we now only allude to, although each is well worthy of consideration in an article by itself. The finst is the engrafting of a new principle upon the recognized laws of nations, in the right assumed by the (ireat Powers, of invading and occupying the territories of the feebler nations for the purpose of enforcing the payment of govermmental bonds given to individual bankers, subjects or otherwise of the invading Powers. And this withont reference to the equity of the case, as whether the honds were given for a just consideration, or by a regularly constituted and responsible govermment. For the Jecker bonds, amoming to more than $\$ 80,000,000$, on which alone the French claim to interfere was grounded, were given by Zuloaga and Miramon, both usurpens, sown expelled by the people; they were sold at sums "varying from one-laalf of one per cent. to four or five per cent." of their nominal anount:* and the Jeckers were not French snljects at the time the honds were given, but were naturalized during the subsequent negotiation, and for its purposes. If this is received as the law among mations, that the Great Powers may constitute themselves at once party, judge, and executioner, to enforce by arms the payment of bonds given to financiens, and withont regard to the justice of the debt itself, then the smaller powens

[^7]have lost their independent nationality, and subsist in form, not by any right in themselves, but solely by the permission of The Ring. And there never can be wanting a pretext for the coercion and subjugation of any one of them which may not square its conduct to the interest or the caprices of its superiors. And as the enslaver is always himself enslaved, it puts the Great Powers in their turn at the mercy and under the dictation of the lenders of money, who may demand their services at pleasure, in the hmmiliation or anmilnilation of a debtor state that dares to resist or offend the Money Power. In a word, it enthrones above all the govermments of the civilized world, a supreme and dominant dictation, more crnel, heartless, and irresponsible than history ever recorded, controlling the industry and wealth of the world for its aggrandizement, and holding the forces of the world foroits defense, and for the execution of its will; an avatar of " Associated Wealth," compared with which the "monster" national bank which Jackson slew, and even the confederated interest in slavery of a thousand millions now being amihilated, are but insect annoyances.

The other dangerous element in the case before us is the growing arrogance and strength of the Papal Power in connection with all the progressive developments of French ambition and conquest. It is curious to see how everything that France does or gains or aims at becomes subservient to the Papal Power, aud turns to the disadvantage of religious liberty and of enlightened civilization. Beginning with the overthrow of the Roman Repnblic, and the still continued armed occupancy of Rome by a French army, as the only means of upholding the Pope in his throne as a temporal prince, we see in Cochin China, in Madagascar, in Turkey, in Spanish America, in Poland, and everywhere, that it is the support and favor of the Pope which constitutes Louis Napoleon's reliance in the last resort ; and it is the extension and comsolidation of the Papal Power which gives minty to all his aims, and the strength of a common interest to all his schemes. It is now clearly understood that the outbreak in Poland was but a plan for establishing in the center of Europe a Franco-Romish interest that shonld serve as a point of defense and :uggression against Rnssia and the Cireek Clurch. It is Po-
pery, atruggling against the advince of freedom and civilization, that has for forty yeans kept the Spanish Ameriona State in turmoil, and kept them from connolidating their govermments, ar improsing their conditione. In Venesuela, in Columbia, in Eemalor, everywhere, it is the P'rient' Party againt the bady of the people; the people striving to reeover the right of governing for themselves, and the Priests, aided by a few bigots, a fow riek mon, a few European Fnow-nothings, and a good many reckles and marauding brigand, trying to keep the power of the govermment in the hands of a class, and subject the many to the control of a few. Thin power has at length been happily put down, at least for the prenent, by the gallant and patriotic President Monquera in Colombia. It has snceumbed, at least tempor rarily, to a compromine in Venezuela; while, in the adjoining republic of Ecuador, it has apparently achieved an ahsolute triumph, in the treaty which was concluded in April last, ly President Moreno with Cardinal Antonelli in the name of the Pope." And one of the chief ends of the conquest of Mexico

[^8]by France, is announced to be the ascendency of the Latin race, and the restoration of the Clurch of Rome to its ancient honor and power in the comntry. The confiscation already begme of the estates of all Mexicans guilty of the crime of supporting their own constitutional govermnent, will prepare the way for the restoration of the estates of the Church, valued at a hundred millions of dollars, heretofore sequestered for the uses of the state.

In former days, the civilized world has been acenstomed to rely for protection against any unwarrantable aggressions of Rome, upon the vigilance and strength of the two great Protestant Powers, Prussia and England. And it is a most unfortunate coincidence, that just at this time, when the Papal Power is so rapidly consolidating itself, and extending its influence over many countries, Prussia is well nigh powerless for any good purpose, hy the insensate relapse of the present monarch into the wildest madness of absolntism ; while the govermment of England is muder the administration of a chief who seems to have become, practically, but a mere satrap of Louis Napoleon. Mr. Kinglake, in his remarkable volume on the Crimean War, before referred to, has described the process by which (ireat Britain was drawn, wholly beyond her intentions and against her interests, into that most bootless conflict. And there is no reason to expect that the same fallacions entente cordiale will not be made available to draw her onward, nolens volens, into whatever ulterior national embroilments the conquest of Mexico may lead to, in the interest of Popery and Absolutism.

In these frank and honest animadversions on the conduct of our affairs, we would not be understood as aftirming that these evils, felt and feared, might lave been prevented by a more open, and firm, and earnest maintenance of our point of honor before Europe; or that the conspiracy of crowned heads against republiean liberty could have been broken up in the year 1861, as it was in 1823, ly the mere utterance of the magic words of the

[^9]Mouroe Dicetrine. Thingen are not as they were forty years ngo in many particulars, as ye hase tox much reasan to know. But we are quite confident that, if there had been in 10011 a firm and fearles reaflimmation of the Monroe Duetrine, in its plain meaning, as is long established principle from which the United Stuter could never depart under any circumatances, and had our govcrament put to each of the governmente concerned in the coalltion against Mexico, a direct and categorical queetion as to the objeots of the invasion and the methods propoeet for their attahnment, with the intimution that we expected a frank and explicit answer, our title to which had been recongized in yeans long golie by-it might not have defeated the plot, but it might have centaved a hitch in the progress of the negotiations ; and it would, at any rate, luve placed us right on the record before Europe whenever the crisis should come, ns come it must. And it would hiave given proof to the world of our continued confidence in the stability of our institutions, and in the inhorent atrength of our govermment to maintain itself, which might have helped to change the conme of public opinion on that continent anong all that are eapable of firming un intelligent judgment us to political cantos and effects. A single sentence of plain Suxon English, it that jumeture, would have done more for us, than whole quires of thashy oratory and glowing prophecies nlways made ridiculons by erents. The world would have seen by such a declaration in adrance of the victories of our arms, that the epirit of the republic was wholly unbroken, and that we exacted from other nations the same respect and deference, which they were ready mough to pay us in the glorious days of President Mostroe. They would have felt that the determination to ask nothing but what is right, and to submit to nothing that is wrong, is juat ne indomitable under President Lincohn as it was meder (ieneral Jackson. A nation that is always sensitive to its point of honor. is always respected among nations, if it has any force whatever. And we might have been epared many a supercilions affront from Palmenton, and mary an insolent rebuke from Rusell, and many an impertinent offer of interference from Louis Napoleons. if, at the lowest point of our disastent, we had taken that coev sion to re-assert uur highest self-respeet as the leading republie of
the New World, and the ready representative of the Political System of America, with which European politics had no busihess to interfere.*

- But the Monroe Doctrine is not dead. It will not die, for trnth never dies, and the Monroe Doctrine is an axiomatic truth in political science. It is as true now as it was when Washington issued his Farewell Address, that "Europe has a set of primary interests, which to us have none or a very remote relation. Hence she must be engaged in frequent controversies, the causes of which are essentially foreign to our concerns." It is as true now as it was when Mr. Monroe issued his Declaration, that "any attempt on the part of European powers to extend their system to any portion of this hemisphere," IS "dangerous to our peace and safety." And we of this day have been brought at length by the cogent force of events, to see as clearly as that golden administration saw, that " any interposition" with any of the American nations, "by any European power," for the purpose of "controlling their destiny," IS "the manifestation of an mnfriendly disposition towards the United States." Those who have doubted, now see it plainly. The efforts for forty years, of selfish partisans, of timid statesmen, of political sciolists, of venal scribblers, or of covert reactionaries, to make it ont that the Monroe Doctrine was a brutum fulmen, which struck no blow and made no mark, and then vanished into thin air, are all blown to the winds. The clouds which temporarily shronded it from general view, have been rolled away by the winds from Mexien and South America, and the Doctrine shines forth as the political cynosure by which we are to steer our national course throngh this sea of difficulties, until the Imperial Republic shall resume her proper honors, and take the foremost place among the mations, as a light to oppressed millions, and the political regenerator of the world.

What is next to be done, is not for us to prescribe. By what

[^10]stope or through what struggle on otr part the Mource Doetrine is to be restored to ite marient respect in the counsels of European dynasties, will depend more upon the winhes of thoee Powen than on our own. The United States lanse long ago rearhed that condition of conscions हtrength anticipated by Washingon, when under any Enropean intrusion " we may chnose peace or war, as our interent, grided by our justice, shall counsel." Should the Europeni Powers receive the leseons of our recent ancoemes, nud speedily withdraw their criminal aggressions on a neighboring republic, thus paying their old homage to the Monroe Doctrine. that is well. Shonld they make open war npontak, we shall meet them as best we may, notwithstanding our embarrasements with tho rebellion. Such a country as this, inhabited by anch a peor ple, and blessed with such institutions and such a histury, is worth a struggle of a hundred years against the world in arms, betore we allow the Political syatem of Europe to be extended over us by all the military force that can be brought against us. Should they merely continne their intrusions and impertinencies, we cau aflurd to consult our own convenience, and choose our own the for appealing to the last resort of injured nations for redress of the wrong.

And if the European Powers should see fit to press the matter to its ultimate issue, we shall not shrink from our proper respronsibility, as a free people and the friends of free institutions. And the Powers may be sure that we shall not stand wholly on the defensive. We will say no word and do no act implring ant admisaion that the Political System of America is less honorable than that of Europe, or less true, or less beneficient, or less worthy of heroic sacrifices in its canse, or less deserving of universal adoption. The question will then lie between the Enropean System for America, and the American System for Europe. If, by their machinations or argressions, we are once involved in their conflicts against our will, there will be mo more peace for us or for them, until the American ideas of national indeperdence and responsilitity have heen spread over the countrie of the Old World, and the dectrines of national interference and

[^11]
# the Palance of Power have been cast among the rubbish with the systems of absolutism and popular ignorance which they were devised to support. And let God give the victory to the right! 

## ADDITIONAL NOTE.

Since thin article wan written, a letter has appeared from Mr. Everett, the object of which is to show that the Engllwh government originated the Monroe Doctrine, and urged ita adoption, quoting in proof the account of Mr. Canning's negotiations with Mr. Isush, as narrated by the latter. It Is true that the British government and nation welcomed the announcement by Mr. Monroe, as a seanonable help, and Is therefore Justly bound by its own conslatency not to complain of our continued adherence to the eame princlple. But a careful perusal of the whole of Mr. Rush's account will show a material difference between what Mr. Canning asked and what Mr. Monroe did. Mr. Canning's object was a British advantage-to bring In the Einted Staten as an auxiliary to British negotiations. What Mr. Monroe did was for Amerfean honor, placing the United States on the high vantage ground of national eguality, and of independent impartiality towards all nations. It is the difference between patronage and manly equality, between a measure and a principle, between a temporary expedient in ald of Eingland, and a byetem of polley for the paramount welfare of the American Continent. Yet Mr. Canning's repreaentations are well worthy of being deeply pondered by both continents:

Mr. Rush having stated that it had been the traditionary rule of the Goverument of the United states not to interfere with European politics, Mr. Canning replled:

* However just such a pollicy might have been formerly, or might continue to beas a general jollicy, he apprehended that powerful and controlling circumstances made it inapplicable upon the present occasion. The question was a new and complicated one in modern affairs. It wan also full as much American as European, to say no more. It concerned the United States under aspects and Interests as Immediate and commanding as It did or could any of the States of Kiurope. They were the first Power established on that Continent, and confessedly the leading Power. They were connected with Spanish America by their position, an with Europe by thelf relations; and they also stood connected with these new States by polltical relations. Was it possible that they could see with indifference their fate decided upon by Europe? Could Europe expect this indifference? Had not a new epoch arrived in the felative powition of the United States toward Europe which Enrope must acknowledge? Were the great pollical and commercial interests which hung upon the destinies of the new Continent to be caneassed and adjusted in this hemisphere, without the coiperation or even knowoledge of the Uniled States? Were they to be canvassed and adjusted, he would even add, without yome proper understanding between the United States and Great Britaln, an the two chlef commercial and maritime States of both worlds? He hoped not, he would wish to persuade himself not."



## PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE

 CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKETUNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY


[^0]:    * In August, 1822.

[^1]:    *" His lorimhip expresel regret shat the linited States viewred the quantlone of independence in the colonias diflicrenty frous Fingland, giving as a neas thes
     ernment was no formal party to tho mediation, if, nevertheloes, is had Narwenited scith Eingland on the gitestion of iudependence, the hapme woald have hemes
     local and political cause. the I'nited States might mutually be nuppent sa lase with the colonien. Huw far it was practicalile so sectile it, giring lack su spain lier eupromacy, and granting to the colonke a jums govorment under lies ewar, wes bot for hius to may; bus is was the boge so which she European Altianenstilt clang." Feb. 12, 1819. Rush's Menoranda, Viol. It. p. 1\%.
    f Mr. Cauning was an oratar of the highest rank, as will as a wise stistumacs
     piany Pert-lag."

[^2]:    *Rush, Vol. II., P1. 400-404.

[^3]:     In sarrationg and justifylng the courio proprest hy our goveromemt, mye that, "In Allgotat, 1818, a formal propomal wan made to the Brithat gorernhernt fie a concorted and entemperary mougaltion of the indepeniledon of Boman Apes thers the only oine of the Sisth American provineon that had mas Ejentas firm contendlug against it, whith ita borierny and where it themfore miat aneyairocally exleted in fiact. The Britih government deellisel acx pulige the froyend thetumelves, without, however, exprevelng any dimpprobation of lt: witheot discomiag lt as a quention of principle, and without andgalag any mena lie the rofosel, other than that it did not then mult with thodr polley. It heamen a subJeot of convidemiton at the dellberations of the Congroe of Als in Chapeiln ita
     thene entertalned of engaging the European Alliance In actual opmationa amiont the South Americans, win it in well known that a plan for thelr Jotat modiatias Intwenn Spaln and her colonles, for revoring them to lirr autherity, was actually asaturvi, and finally fallisl at that place, only by the refumal of tireat Briais tw acconte to the condition of employing force eventually agaliat the South Amerlosas for lts accomplishment. Some dleatlofactlon was mantfented by avems of the members of the Congrose at Alx la Chapelle, at thifs avowal an the part of the linited Siatew, of their readines to recognize the indepmendenee of Ramnon Ayres." Menge and Documents, March 15, I826. House Dos 139, p. is

    Dates are here quite ituportant. The reolution of the Howe of Repionstatives, calling for information on the sulyject, wan pamed the soth of Jasuary. 152. Mr. Clay's brilliant and commanding spevehist in favor of movmilton. which mo electrifiol the civllied wopld, wore dalivered in Febbruary. Althoach the Houer at first dicllomi, February 5, to Include an allowanen in the Groersl Apluripriation Bill, 33 to $\%$, and afterwands fallest by only ene vote to Lay Mr. Clay's rusolution ou the table, 7 t to $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{y}$ yet affer the detates, the duclamalua of Intinet in the cause of Souts American Independence was adopted, i34 to 13, asd the pledgo to suppurt the Prisedent In tite menaures, paend si to 68. The Ervolirntis Mesage was tranamleteld to Congree on the Ath of March, in wldel he "declarel hila own pensuaslon that the thane had arrived when, in stfict on formity to the law of natlons, and in the fulfilment of the datine of maal and Impartial justis in all partes, the acknowlevlgment of the Independenes declamed liy the Sjanish American colonlew could no longer to withheld." The appoped. atlon was made by Congreas, May 4 th, and on the lith of Juanc Mr. Torns whe reoclved toy the Proddent as Charge diAflims from the 1 eppullie of Cotumble Mr. Allauns says that "the Immodiate coneypence of cur roognities wes the
     into the porta of the principal maritime natious of Enoym" Dice p. 2 ?

[^4]:    "Originated for the purpowe of meeting a paricular conjunctime of events, il fixde In them alone its real purport and justification. Wiet and mesouble wib reference to the circumstances of the time at which it wan promulgasint, it onawd to bi of any force ccen as a Prosidential recommendation, as won as the crida whelh called it forth had presed." Vol. 82, p. 459.

[^5]:     tmast drawnd upan the motives which have guided him, and the manaer la whelb the may tue it, hut it woutd to vain to deny that the feeling of the mentineis of
    
     lag the previous action of Spain in extingulating the Monrow Doetrien: sed

[^6]:    * " The commanders of the allied forces shall be, moreover, authorized to execute the other operations which may be considered, on the spot, most suitable to effict the object specified in the preamble of the present convention.
    "All the measures contemplated in this article shall be taken in the name, and on the account of the high contracting parties, without reference to the particular nationality of the forces employed to execute them." Treaty, Art. I., Sec. 2 and 3.

[^7]:    - Sive Mr. Corwin's letter of Jund 90, 1201.

[^8]:    - Thim treaty, whicla has been publiwhed in Et Nocional, the oflicial journal of Ficuador, containn the following articles, which merve fo illuntrate the Popertidnan of relggious tiberty, where the has things in hifs own way:
    - 1. The Roman Catholic and Apoutolic religion is the relligion of the Reprabile of Ficuador Consequently, the exercime of any other wonslip, or the existenos of any mactety condemned by the Church, wlll not be permitied by the Repaillic.
    " 2. The exlucation of the young in all publle and private schoolt shall to entirely conformed to the doctrinew of the [Roman] Catholle Religivo. The teachers, the books, the Instructions Imparted, dic., dse, [the providiuns aro given In a very condenmed form), shall be submitted to the decision of the biahope-
    "3. Government will give lis powerful patronage and fin support to the blah ops in thelr rewletance so the evll deslgas of wicked persons, de-
    "4. All matrimonial causes, aud all thowe whlch concern the falth, the earn mente, the public morale, dce, are placed under the sole juriedietion of the eocle wastical tribunaly, and the clvil magintrates shall becharged to carrg them toto execution. The pritate ahall confine themselves to consulting the lay judgat if thery think proper to do mo.

    6. The privileges of churches [the ancient right of asylams in consecmited buildlogot shall be fully respurted."

    The Plallailelphia Catholic Herald and Vivitor, Auguat ish, exulta:
    " A mast satisfactory Coneordas han lwen concluded between the Holy Ase and the Repulthe of Eecualos, in Suth America. Io that exelundvity Castrotic mantry, the public exerciee of no other worship than the Cutholic is to be alloment Thr bishops are to hace the contral of the admeation of youth, and to propoe thine

[^9]:    candidates for the vacant episcopal sees to the selection of the President and of the Pope. No Exequatur, no Piedmontism, no Gallicanism, no shortcomings. The Hispano-American population, in the State of Ecuador, mean to be truly and generouly Oatholic !"

[^10]:    * In the maintenance of a professed neutrality between Mexico our friend, and France our enemy, we seem to have followed the American rule where it went against Mexico, and the European rule where it favored France-prohibiting the expmrt of arms, which the former was destitute of, and allowing that of mules to the latter.

[^11]:    -Farcwell Mddrie.

